

GRAPHICAL APPROXIMATION TO THE DOMAIN OF ATTRACTION FOR SECOND ORDER SYSTEMS

Ryuzo Yokoyama Edwin Kinnen

Hard copy (HC)_

CFSTI PRICE(S) \$

Microfiche (MF)_

ff 653 July 65

GPO PRICE

August 1968

This research was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant NsG-574.

THE UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING AND APPLIED SCIENCE

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

ROCHESTER, NEW YORK

GRAPHICAL APPROXIMATION TO THE DOMAIN OF ATTRACTION FOR SECOND ORDER SYSTEMS

Ryuzo Yokoyama Edwin Kinnen

College of Engineering and Applied Science
University of Rochester
Rochester, New York 14627

August 1968

This research was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant NsG-574.

GRAPHICAL APPROXIMATION TO THE

DOMAIN OF ATTRACTION

FOR SECOND ORDER SYSTEMS

CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION
II.	STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM
III.	FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM
IV.	METHOD OF APPROXIMATING THE DOMAIN OF ATTRACTION
٧.	MACHINE COMPUTATION
VI.	EXAMPLES
VII.	CONCLUSIONS
vIII.	REFERENCES
	APPENDIX

Graphical Approximation to the

Domain of Attraction

For Second Order Systems

Ryuzo Yokoyama Edwin Kinnen

University of Rochester
Rochester, New York 14627

Abstract

A method is described for developing an approximate domain of attraction for a singular point of the ordinary autonomous second order differential equation $\ddot{x} + f(x,\dot{x})\dot{\dot{x}} + g(x,\dot{\dot{x}}) = 0$, where f and g are finite order polynomials in x and \dot{x} . Reverse solution trajectories are calculated for domain boundaries from a selective set of end point conditions known to belong to the domain of attraction. The latter is found initially from an arbitrarily chosen Liapunov function. The process is arranged for machine computation and is particularly effective for equations with solution trajectories not exhibiting limit cycles.

GRAPHICAL APPROXIMATION TO THE

DOMAIN OF ATTRACTION

FOR SECOND ORDER SYSTEMS

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of establishing stability characteristics of a singular point is fundamental to the analysis of nonlinear systems. A more difficult problem arises, however, if the domain of attraction about a singular point found to be asymptotically stable is to be determined. The significant practical interest in resolving this latter problem justifies an investigation into the use of computer assisted solutions. The domain of attraction, or the region of asymptotic stability, defines those points in state space around a stable singular point corresponding to initial conditions of all solution trajectories which approach the singular point as t becomes increasingly more positive. A computer assisted procedure is described for systematically developing an arbitrary close approximation to the domain of attraction for a class of second order nonlinear autonomous ordinary differential equations, based on the concepts of an invarient set and limiting set from stability theory [1].

Methods which have been suggested for estimating the domain of attraction are directed generally to finding alternate Liapunov functions which describe more inclusive portions of the domain [2,3,4]. This procedure is based instead on the calculation of selective reverse solution trajectories. It is convenient to use a Liapunov function for the system in an arbitrarily small region about the singular point to start the procedure. The results are independent of the choice of this Liapunov function, however.

While the development has been restricted to one class of nonlinear second order equations, it appears possible to extend the ideas to more complex equations.

The problem is described in Section II, along with some characteristics of solution trajectories of the class of non-linear differential equations. A theorem is stated in Section III to support the construction procedure. Details of the method and some practical considerations are given in Section IV. The next section describes a machine program for constructing an approximation to the domain of attraction. Three examples with computer assisted solutions appear in Section VI.

II. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Consider a second order system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y \\ \dot{y} = N(x, y), \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where N(x,y) is assumed to be a finite order polynomial in x and y of order n, say

$$N(x,y) = a_{00} + (a_{10}x + a_{11}y) + (a_{20}x^{2} + a_{21}xy + a_{22}y^{2}) + \cdots$$

$$\cdots + (a_{n0}x^{n} + a_{n1}x^{n-1}y + \cdots + a_{n,n-1}xy^{n-1} + a_{nn}y^{n})$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{mi}x^{m-i}y^{i}.$$
(2)

Writing (2) as

$$N(x,x) = -f(x,x)x - g(x,x),$$
 (3)

equation (1) is equivalently given as

$$\ddot{x} + f(x,\dot{x})\dot{x} + g(x,\dot{x}) = 0.$$
 (4)

As singular points of (1) are defined for x = y = 0, all y coordinates are zero and the x coordinates are real roots of the algebraic equation

$$N(x,0) = 0$$

or
$$a_{00} + a_{10}x + \cdots + a_{n0}x^n = 0.$$
 (5)

Consider $k(k \le n)$ distinct real roots of (5) such that the singular points, of (2) are

$$(\alpha_1,0), (\alpha_2,0), \cdots, (\alpha_k,0).$$
 (6)

By a parallel shift of the y axis, the position of each point can be moved to the origin of a new coordinate system. It is convenient to assume, therefore, that an asymptotically stable singular point is located at the origin and, without loss of generality, to consider the problem of finding the domain of attraction of the origin [5]. This assumption requires that the coefficient a_{00} in (5) is zero. The origin is also assumed to be an isolated singular point, i.e., at least one of the other coefficients in (5) is not zero.

Restated, the problem is the following: for a given system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y \\ \dot{y} = N(x,y), \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where (i) N(x,y) is an n^{th} -order polynomial in x and y,

$$N(x,y) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{mi} x^{m-i} y^{i}, \quad (n \text{ finite})$$
 (8)

and (ii) at least one a_{10} , a_{20} , ..., a_{n0} is nonzero; obtain an approximation to the domain of attraction of the origin, say D, by another domain entirely within D and arbitrarily close to D.

It is pertinent to recall some characteristics of the solution to (7).

Remark 1: The satisfaction of the Lipschitz condition in a domain R of the state plane for (7) guarantees the existence of a unique

solution for any initial state and the nonintersection of trajectories except at singular points. Furthermore, all trajectories are directed to the right in the upper half state plane and to the left in the lower half state plane. On the x axis, trajectories are directed perpendicularly up if N(x,0) > 0 and down if N(x,0) < 0. If N(x,0) = 0, the point is a singular point by definition.

The following theorem provides a necessary condition for the nonlinearity N(x,0) in (7) if the origin is asymptotically stable.

Theorem 1: If the origin of (7) is asymptotically stable, there exists an ε > 0 such that

$$x \cdot N(x,0) < 0 \tag{9}$$

for all $0 < |x| \le \varepsilon$.

Proof: Consider the contrary, that there is a $\delta_1 > 0$ or a $\delta_2 > 0$ such that

$$N(x,0) > 0$$
 for all $0 < x \le \delta_{\gamma}$ (10)

or
$$N(x,0) < 0$$
 for all- $\delta_2 \le x < 0$. (10')

For (10), choose an initial state

$$(x_0,0),$$
 (11)

where
$$0 < x_0 < \delta_1$$
. (12)

The trajectory extends from (11) into the first quadrant perpendicular at the x axis and is then directed to the right becoming more distant from the origin. For the trajectory to approach to the origin as $t\to +\infty$, it must necessarily enter the fourth quadrant.

Since, by (10), this cannot occur through an intersection of the segment between the origin and $(\delta_1,0)$, the trajectory must become more distant from the origin than $(\delta_1,0)$, independent of the magnitude of x_0 . Because of this independence of x_0 , the assumption of asymptotic stability of the origin is contradicted. The proof is similar if (10') is assumed.

Theorem 2: Let R be a closed, simply connected, bounded region in the state plane of (7). If R does not include any singular point, then a trajectory of (7) starting from a point in R must reach the boundary of R in finite time.




Figure 1

Outline of the proof: A trajectory starting from a point in R can stay in R for all subsequent time if and only if:

- (i) R is unbounded, or
- (ii) the closure of R, i.e., R, includes a singular point, or
- (iii) R completely includes a limit cycle.

The possibility of (i) or (ii) is denied by the boundedness and the closedness of R respectively. The possibility of (iii) is denied by the simple connectedness and closedness, that is, if there exists a limit cycle in R = R, there must exist a singular point inside the limit cycle [6] and in R. Consequently under the assumptions no trajectory can stay in R for all time.

Identifying function: Consider a set of functions of class C₁ such that

$$h(x,y) = K, (13)$$

where K is a parameter. Equation (13) is a contour field in the

state plane of (7). Noting the value of the time derivative of (13) under (7) at some point (x_a,y_a) is

$$h(x,y) = \left\{ \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} y_a + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} N(x_a, y_a) \right\}, \qquad (14)$$

the following statements are evident.

- (i) If the value of (14) is positive, the trajectory at (x_a, y_a) is directed so as to climb the contour, toward increasing values of K of (13).
- (ii) If the value of (14) is negative, the trajectory at (x_a, y_a) is directed so as to descend the contour, toward decreasing values of K of (13).
- (iii) If the value of (14) is zero, the trajectory at (x_a,y_a) is tangent to the contour.

Equation (13) is called an identifying function.


Remark 2: Suppose that (x_0,y_0) is a regular point in a domain of attraction of the origin. Consider a trajectory T starting from a point (x_1,y_1) such that it reaches (x_0,y_0) and the time interval for this transition of the solution is finite. Then any point on T, including (x_1,y_1) , is a point in the domain of attraction due to the uniqueness of the solution and the definition of asymptotic stability. For this purpose, it is necessary and sufficient that T includes no singular point between (x_0,y_0) and (x_1,y_1) , and and that the distance between these two points is finite along T.

III. FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM

Assume that Ω is a know subset of D.

Theorem 3: Let (a) L be a line segment in Ω , (b) P be a trajectory not necessary within Ω such that it reaches a point (x_0,y_0)

on L, and (c) h(x,y) = k be an identifying function which intersects both L and P at ℓ and ρ , as in figure 2. Assume all distances between intersecting points along each of the segments are finite. Denote the domain surrounded by these three segments plus the boundary as D_s , a closed domain. Then (i) if h(x,y) under (7) is sign definite for all points on the segment between ρ and ℓ and including ρ , and (ii) D_s does not include any singular point, then



Proof: By assumption, D_s satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2. Hence any trajectory in D_s must reach some boundary point of D_s

Figure 2

in a finite time. But no trajectory can leave D_s at a point on P between ρ and (x_0,y_0) except possibly at (x_0,y_0) . Also no trajectory can leave D_s at a point on the identifying function between ℓ and ρ due to the sign definiteness of the derivative of the identifying function. Thus any trajectory which includes points in D_s must also include a point on the segment L between ℓ and (x_0,y_0) . As L is included in D, and from Remark 2, $D_s \subset D$.

Consider a modification of Theorem 3 for a similarly defined L and h(x,y) = k. Let D_s^i be defined as a domain surrounded by L and h(x,y) = k, and two trajectories P_1 and P_2 starting from different points ρ_1 and ρ_2 on h(x,y) = k and reaching points ℓ_1 and ρ_2 on L, as shown in figure 3. All distances between intersecting points ρ_1 , ρ_2 , ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 along each of the segments are assumed finite.

Lemma: (i) If h(x,y) is sign definite at all points on h(x,y) = k between ρ_1 and ρ_2 including ρ_1 and ρ_2 , and (ii) if D_s^i does not include any singular point, then

D's C D.




Figure 3

IV. METHOD OF APPROXIMATING THE DOMAIN OF ATTRACTION

Theorem 3 and the Lemma can be used routinely to develop D for a given system (7). The process is described as finding or constructing:

- 1. a line segment L which is a subset of D,
- 2. a trajectory P (or P_1 and P_2) to reach a point on L,
- 3. an identifying function h(x,y) = k to construct a domain D_s (or D_s'); then
- 4. the condition of Theorem 3 or the Lemma is checked for

$$D_s \subset D$$
 (or $D_s' \subset D$),

and the process is repeated for a new $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}$.

It is necessary for the nonlinearity of (7) to statisfy the condition of Theorem 1; if this fails, the origin cannot be asymptotically stable.

Remark 3: To identify a line segment L in D, it is sufficient to find a Liapunov function V(x,y) to prove the asymptotic stability of the origin. This defines a region Ω

$$\Omega$$
; $V(x,y) \leq \alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$: const), (16)

where V is negative definite. Define

$$L_y$$
; $V(x,y) = \alpha$.

Then
$$L_v \subset D$$
 (17)

and can be used initially as a segment L. Using $\mathbf{L_v}$ for L also simplifies later calculations, as all trajectories reach $\mathbf{L_v}$ from the external side of Ω .

To find V(x,y), any of the many suggested construction methods for an autonomous system can be employed. For machine computation, Rodden's method [3], based on a Zubov theorem, may be used if necessary. As Ω is only required to initiate the procedure, the magnitude of Ω is of little consequence.

Remark 4: Relative to step 2, finding a trajectory to reach a point ℓ on L_v , a reverse time trajectory from some point on L_v is appropriate. The reverse time system of (7) is defined by

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = -y \\ \dot{y} = -N(x,y). \end{cases}$$
 (18)

Remark 5: Simple identifying functions are worth considering. Observe the cases when

$$h_1(x,y) = x = k$$
, a constant, (19)

and
$$h_2(x,y) = y = 0.$$
 (20)

From (7) and Remark 1, (19) becomes

$$h_1(x,y)$$
 = $x = y > 0$ in the upper half plane, (21)

and < 0 in the lower half plane. (21')

Alternately (20) is

$$\dot{h}_2(x,y)\Big|_{y=0} = \dot{y}\Big|_{y=0} = N(x,0).$$
 (22)

which is sign definite on each segment of the x axis between singular points. If the origin is asymptotically stable, (22) is negative on the x axis between the origin and the nearest singular point to the right and positive on the x axis between the origin and the nearest singular point to the left (Theorem 1). Therefore the existence of appropriate identifying functions such as (19) or (20) is sufficient to satisfy part of the conditions of Theorem 3 on the domain $D_s \subseteq D$.

To systematically construct domains D_s using identifying functions (19) and (20), suppose a Ω and L have been found as shown in figure 4. Define in this figure

 C_0 : the intersection between L_v and the x axis in the right half plane. q_0 : the intersection between L_v and the x axis in the left

As Ω is a subset of D, it cannot include any singular point, except




Figure 4


half plane.

the origin. By Theorem 1,

$$N(x,0) < 0$$
 for all x between the origin and C_0 , (23)

$$N(x,0) > 0$$
 for all x between the origin and q_0 . (23')

(1) Consider a reversed time trajectory T_1 from C_0 . T_1 necessarily becomes more negative than q_0 in the upper half plane, by (23) and Remark 1. As shown in figure 5, define D_s^1 as the domain surrounded by L_v , T_1 , $x = k < q_0$, and the x axis between q_0 and (k,0), where k is otherwise an arbitrary value. If there exists no singular point between q_0 and (k,0) on the x axis, then Theorem 3 insures



 $D_a^1 \subset D$.

(2) As long as the reverse time trajectory T_{γ} remains in the upper half plane, the domain D_s^1 can be extended until a singular point appears between \mathbf{q}_0 and

Figure 5

- (k,0). Assume such a singular point at $(\alpha_1,0)$, as in figure 6. . Then the domain surrounded by L_v , T_1 , $x = \alpha_1 + \epsilon_1$ and the x axis between q_0 and $(\alpha_1 + \epsilon_1, 0)$ can be identified as D_s^1 , where ϵ_1 is a small positive value. In the vicinity of the singular point $(\alpha,0)$, there occur two possible initial states of reverse time trajectories of a new subdomain of D. These are $(\alpha_1 + \epsilon_1, \delta_1)$ and $(\alpha_1 + \epsilon_1, 0)$, where δ_1 is defined in the following.
- (3) Consider a second reverse time trajectory T_2 , starting from $(\alpha_1 + \epsilon_1, \delta_1)$, as shown in figure 6. Call the first inter-




Figure 6

section of T_2 and the x axis q_2 (if it exists) and assume the nearest singular point to the left of q_2 to be at $(\alpha_{\tt i},0)$. If T_1 remains in the upper half plane above the singular point $(\alpha_{\tt i},0)$, consider the domain $D_{\tt S}^2$ as that surrounded by T_1 , T_2 , ${\tt x}=\alpha_1+\varepsilon_1$, the segment of the x axis between q_2 and $(\alpha_{\tt i}+\varepsilon_{\tt i},0)$ and ${\tt x}=\alpha_{\tt i}+\varepsilon_{\tt i}$, where $\varepsilon_{\tt i}$ is an arbitrary small positive value. Since by assumption there exists no singular point on the x axis between q_2 and $(\alpha_{\tt i}+\varepsilon_{\tt i},0)$, ${\tt y}=N({\tt x},0)$ on the x axis between $(\alpha_{\tt i}+\varepsilon_{\tt i},0)$ and q_2 is sign definite and the Lemma insures

$$D_s^2 \subset D$$
.

If a very small value is chosen for δ_1 , e.g., δ_s as in figure 6, the reverse time trajectory T_2 from $(\alpha_1 + \epsilon_1, \delta_s)$ may enter the

lower half plane without passing over the singular point $(\alpha_1,0)$. Then the construction of a new subdomain of D using T_1 will fail in the upper half plane. Therefore, δ_1 must be chosen so that the reverse time trajectory T_2 passes over the singular point and be a lower-bound positive value satisfying this restriction.

If, additionally, T_1 intersects the x axis at q_3 , as in figure 7, and no singular point exists between q_2 and q_3 , D_s^2 is reduced to the domain surrounded by T_1 , T_2 , $x = \alpha_1 + \epsilon_1$, and the segment of the x axis between q_2 and q_3 . If T_2 stays in the upper half plane forever, D_s^2 can be identified as an infinite strip partially surrounded by T_1 , T_2 and $x = \alpha_1 + \epsilon_1$, as shown in figure 8.

(4) For a reverse time trajectory which starts from $(\alpha_1 + \epsilon_1, 0)$ and is directed to the right in the lower half plane, as shown in figure 6 as T_3 , arguments similar to those considered in (1)-(3) can be applied. Let D_s^3 be the domain surrounded by T_3 , the segments of the x axis between $(\alpha_1 + \epsilon_1, 0)$ and q_0 , and between C_0 and $(\beta_1 - \epsilon_1', 0)$, L_v and $x = \beta_1 - \epsilon_1'$. $(\beta_1, 0)$ is assumed to be the nearest singular point to the right of the origin and ϵ_1' is a small arbitrary positive value. Then the Lemma insures

$D_s^3 \subset D$.

There occur two possible initial states for reverse time trajectories T_{β} and T_{5} in the vicinity of the singular point, i.e., $(\beta_{1}-\epsilon_{1},-\delta_{1})$ and $(\beta_{1}-\epsilon_{1},0)$. δ_{1} is a small positive so that T_{5} passes below $(\beta_{1},0)$ for the same reason given for T_{2} in item (3).

(5) If T_1 in figure 5 enters the third quadrant at point $(q_1,0)$, as shown in figure 9, with no singular point between $(q_1,0)$ and the origin, then D_s^1 is the domain surrounded by L_v , T_1 and the segment of the x axis between q_0 and q_1 , and $D_s^1 \subset D$.




Figure 7




Figure 8

Continuing these steps (1)(5), based on any known subdomain of D, new subdomains of D can be sequentially developed. Note that constructed subdomains using reverse time trajectories can include no singular points. It is this characteristic combined with the identifying functions (19) and (20) that essentially simplify the foregoing procedure for the machine computation described in the next section.




Figure 9

V. MACHINE COMPUTATION

The steps given in the last section can be programmed for machine computation and the direct plotting of an approximation to the domain of attraction. Initially it is necessary to check the condition of Theorem 1 and determine a known segment in D, e.g., a Liapunov function as stated. For a machine program it is sufficient to calculate and plot reverse time trajectories to approximate D from selected initial states. The approximated domain is then identified visually, referring to the considerations of the previous section. A program has been assembled to sequentially: (C_1) calculate reverse time trajectories from selected initial states, (C_2) develop initial conditions for additional trajectories and (C_3) end when all relevant trajectories are calculated. It is necessary to locate singular points of system (7). These exist on the x axis with x coordinates which are the real roots of

$$N(x,0) = 0.$$
 (24)

Any standard method can be applied to solve this equation. In

the program which follows, all singular points are assumed to be identified for the computation and inserted as data input. The flow chart of the program appears in figure 10.

For (c_1) , the Runge-Kutta method was used to solve the time reversed trajectories approximated by connecting segments of infinitesimal time intervals. End points of a segment, say (x_n, y_n) for $t = t_n$ and (x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) for $t = t_n + \Delta t$, are related [7] as

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n + \frac{1}{6} (K_0 + 2K_1 + 2K_2 + K_3) \\ y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{1}{6} (L_0 + 2L_1 + 2L_2 + L_3), \end{cases}$$
 (25)

where

$$\begin{cases} K_{o} = -\Delta t \cdot y_{n} \\ L_{o} = -\Delta t \cdot N(x_{n}, y_{n}) \\ K_{1} = -\Delta t \cdot (y_{n} + \frac{L_{o}}{2}) \\ L_{1} = -\Delta t \cdot N(x_{n} + \frac{K_{o}}{2}, y_{n} + \frac{L_{o}}{2}) \\ K_{2} = -\Delta t \cdot (y_{n} + \frac{L_{1}}{2}) \\ L_{2} = -\Delta t \cdot N(x_{n} + \frac{K_{1}}{2}, y_{n} + \frac{L_{1}}{2}) \\ K_{3} = -\Delta t \cdot (y_{n} + L_{2}) \\ L_{3} = -\Delta t \cdot N(x_{n} + K_{2}, y_{n} + L_{2}). \end{cases}$$
(26)

Each point (x_n, y_n) along the trajectories are punched out for subsequent machine plotting.




Figure 10

For (C_2) , the initial state for the first reverse time trajectory is predetermined as a point on $L_{\mathbf{v}}$. Initial states for other trajectories depend upon subsequent results but exist only in the vicinity of singular points. Generally, for a singular point to the immediate left of a subdomain boundary in the upper half plane, as $(\alpha,0)$ in figure 6, two possible initial states exist, $(\alpha + \epsilon, 0)$ and $(\alpha + \epsilon, \delta)$. ϵ is an arbitrary small positive number and δ is the lower-bound positive value so that the reverse time trajectory from $(\alpha + \epsilon, \delta)$ passes above $(\alpha, 0)$. Alternately, about a singular point $(\alpha,0)$ to the right of a subdomain boundary in the lower half plane, as $(\alpha,0)$ in figure 6, $(\alpha - \epsilon,0)$ and $(\alpha - \epsilon, -\delta)$ are possible initial states of reverse time trajectories which pass below $(\alpha, 0)$. If a new possible initial state is found during the calculation from a trajectory passing over or under a singular point, this initial state is stored for the later calculations. For convenience, at the beginning of a reverse time trajectory calculation, an identifying trajectory number and the corresponding initial state are printed out.

The calculation of each trajectory is stopped when

- (i) it is extended so as to establish a subdomain of D,
- (ii) it is extended to a preselected limit value of the coordinates,
- (iii) it rotates many times about a singular point indicating an approach to a limit cycle, or
- (iv) its extension becomes infinitesimal as it approaches a singular point.

For (ii), the calculation is stopped when the trajectory reaches the limits of the region

$$|x| < P, \tag{27}$$

where P is chosen arbitrarily but so that $x = \frac{+}{2}P$ are not singular points. When the computation of a trajectory is stopped for exceeding this limit, the statement "CHANGE OF TRAJECTORY DUE TO EXCESS VALUE OF X" is printed out. For (iii), the number of changes of sign for y is counted along each reverse time trajectory and is printed out as "NO. OF ROTATIONS * $\frac{1}{2}$ ". When this

number exceeds a preset value, the calculation is stopped and the statement "CHANGE OF TRAJECTORY DUE TO EXCESS ROTATION" is printed out. For (iv), the amount

$$\sum_{i}^{i+100} \max \{|x_i - x_{i-1}|, |y_i - y_{i-1}|\}$$

is accumulated along the trajectory and if the average value of the maximum changes becomes

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i+100} \max \{|x_{i} - x_{i-1}|, |y_{i} - y_{i-1}|\}}{100} \le SK, \quad (28)$$

where SK is a predetermined value, the calculation is stopped and the statement "CHANGE OF TRAJECTORY DUE TO STEADY STATE" is printed out.

When the possible initial states for reverse time trajectories are exhausted in the region indicated by (27) and the last trajectory is terminated, the entire computation is complete.

The Fortran program assembled for this computation and used for the examples of the next section is listed in the Appendix. The output of the calculation is both printed and punched out, the latter then used for a standard plotting routine for a graphical result.

VI. EXAMPLES

Three examples demonstrate the method and illustrate numerically calculated domains of attraction. The identifying functions used were

$$x = K \tag{19}$$

and
$$y = 0$$
. (20)

The region for the search was arbitrarily restricted to the x coordinate. If a reverse time trajectory rotated more than four

times around the origin, the calculation was arranged to end, anticipating a limit cycle. At was assumed to be 0.01.

Example 1: The system is given as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y \\ \dot{y} = -x - 6y + 2y^3. \end{cases}$$
 (29)

(i) As

$$x \cdot N(x,0) = -x^2$$

the condition of Theorem 1 is satisfied.

- (ii) A singular point exists at the origin.
- (iii) Assume

$$V(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$$

and the time derivative under (29) is

$$\dot{V}(x,y) = 2x\dot{x} + 2y\dot{y} = -4y^2(3 - y^2).$$

Therefore

$$\dot{V}(x,y) < 0$$
 if $y^2 < 3$ and $y \neq 0$,
= 0 if $y = 0$. (30)

On the x axis,


$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = 0 \\ \dot{y} = -x \end{cases}$$

and any trajectory terminates at the origin. From (30), it is possible to choose $L_{\mathbf{v}}$ as

$$x^2 + y^2 = (1.5)^2$$

which is completely included in D.

The computed result is shown in figure 11. Starting from (1.5,0) the calculation stopped after the reverse time trajectory



rotated four times around the origin. Thus there exists a limit cycle around the origin and the domain inside the limit cycle is recognized as the domain of attraction. For this example, the procedure does no more, in effect, than determine the domain of attraction by plotting a reverse trajectory.

Example 2: The system is given as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y \\ \dot{y} = -x - y - \frac{1}{4}x^2. \end{cases}$$
 (31)

(i) As

$$x \cdot N(x,0) = -x^2(1 + \frac{1}{4}x),$$

the condition of Theorem 1 is satisfied, for example, in |x| < 0.125.

- (ii) A singular point exists at the origin and x = -4.
- (iii) Assume

$$V(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 + \frac{x^3}{6}, \tag{32}$$

where the last term is included to insure \dot{V} negative definite. A rough sketch of contours of (32) is shown in figure 12. For $V < \frac{16}{3}$ they become concentric closed curves around the origin. The time derivative under (31) is

$$\dot{V}(x,y) = -2y^2 < 0 \text{ if } y \neq 0.$$

From (31), no solution terminates on the x axis except at the singular points. Thus (32) is a Liapunov function proving asymptotical stability of the origin [1]. Choose L_v somewhat arbitrarily as




Figure 12

$$x^2 + y^2 + \frac{x^3}{6} = (0.3)^2$$
,

which is completely included in D.

The result of a machine computation is shown in figure 13. The first reverse time trajectory, T_1 , is started from (-0.3,0). After this trajectory passes over the singular point at (-4,0), the second trajectory, T_2 , is started from (-4+ ϵ ,0). When T_2 attains the preset maximum value of x, the third trajectory, T_3 , is started from (-4+ ϵ ,0). A magnification of T_2 and T_3 in the vicinity of (-4,0) is shown in figure 14. Finally the region surrounded by T_2 , T_3 , $x = -4+\epsilon$ and x = -10.0 is recognized to be a subset of D.

For comparison, figure 15 shows a set of trajectories of (31) calculated from the time reversed system with arbitrary selected initial states of

$$(0.01i,0)$$
, $i = 5,4,3,2,1,-1,-2,-3,-4,-5$.

As an approximation to the domain of attraction evidently cannot be found by straight-forward calculations of reverse time trajectories in this example, the efficiency of this procedure is noticeable. Figure 16 is the union of figures 13 and 15.

Example 3: Given

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = y \\ \dot{y} = -4x - \frac{1}{2}y + \frac{1}{4}x^3. \end{cases}$$
 (33)

(i) As

$$x \cdot N(x,0) = \frac{-x^2}{4} (16 - x^2),$$

the condition of Theorem 1 is satisfied, e.g., if $|x| \le 1$.






Figure 13








Figure 16

(ii) Singular points are at x = 4.0,-4.

(iii) Assume

$$V(x,y) = x^2 + \frac{y^2}{4} - \frac{1}{32}x^4. \tag{34}$$

A rough sketch of contours of (34) is shown in figure 17. For V < 6, these are closed curves around the origin. The derivative under (33) is

$$\dot{v}(x,y) = 2\dot{x}x + \frac{\dot{y}}{2}y - \frac{\dot{x}}{8}x^3$$

$$= -\frac{y^2}{4} < 0, \text{ if } y \neq 0. \qquad (35)$$

From (33), no solution trajectory terminates on the x axis except at the singular points. Therefore (34) is a Liapunov function proving asymptotic stability of the origin [1]. Choose $L_{\rm V}$ for convenience as

$$x^{2} + \frac{y^{2}}{4} - \frac{1}{32}x^{4} = \frac{31}{32}$$





Figure 17

which is completely included in D.

The result of the computation is shown in figure 18. The first reverse time trajectory, T_1 , is started on L_v at (-1.0,0). After T_1 passes under the singular point at (4,0), the second trajectory, T_2 , is computed from $(4-\epsilon,0)$. Subsequently, T_2 passes over the singular point at (-4,0). When T_2 attains a preset maximum, the third trajectory, T_3 , is started at $(-4+\epsilon,0)$ and likewise terminates at a maximum value of x. The fourth and fifth trajectories are started at $(-4+\epsilon,\delta)$ and $(4-\epsilon,-\delta)$ respectively. Finally, the domain surrounded by T_2-T_5 , $x=4-\epsilon$, $x=-4+\epsilon$ and $x=\pm 8$ is seen to be a subset of D.



VII. CONCLUSION

A procedure for determining an approximation to the domain of attraction of a general class of nonlinear differential equations (7) has been shown to be effective and efficient, and adaptable to machine assisted computation. This is illustrated by examples representing three types of domains of attraction.

The closeness of the approximation to the domain of attraction can be improved by choosing initial values for reverse time trajectories nearer to the singular points. This should be done with discretion, however, as the approximating domain can exceed the domain of attraction from accumulated errors in the trajectory computation, for a specific choice of Δt .

While the differential equations considered represent a limited class of second order equations, i.e., with the nonlinearity given as a finite order polynomial, it is expected that the procedure can be generalized somewhat. For other nonlinearities, the search for domains would have to be restricted to the regions where the uniqueness of the solution is guaranteed with the origin as an isolated singular point. The more general second order system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = N_1(x, y) \\ \dot{y} = N_2(x, y) \end{cases}$$

could similarly be considered; however, the computation would become more complicated for singular point identification as the locations of singular points are no longer guaranteed on the x axis. Extensions to higher order nonlinear equations are limited in part by problems of representation.

VIII. REFERENCES

- [1] LaSalle, J. and S. Lefschetz, Stability by Liapunov's Direct Method, Academic Press Inc., New York, N.Y., 1961, pp. 56-71.
- [2] Mogolis, S.G. and W.G. Vogt, Control Engineering Applications of V.J. Zubov's Construction Procedure for Liapunov Functions, IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, AC-8:104, 1963.
- [3] Rodden, J.J., Applications of Liapunov Stability Theory, Doctoral Thesis, Stanford University, Stanford, California, 1964.
- [4] Weissenberger, S., Stability Boundary Applications for Relay Control Systems Via a Steepest Ascent Construction of Liapunov Functions, Journal of Basic Eng., 88:419, 1966.
- [5] Hahn, W., Theory and Application of Liapunov's Direct Method, Prentice Hall Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1963.
- [6] Minorsky, N., Nonlinear Oscillation, Van Nostrand, N.J., 1962, pp. 74-77.
- [7] Weeg, G. and G. Weed, Introduction to Numerical Analysis, Blaisdall Pub. Co., Waltham, Mass., 1966, pp. 82-85.

APPENDIX

An IBM 360/50 was used for the computation described for the examples. The program, constructed according to the flow chart in figure 11, is listed starting on page 33.

The data statement requires

- D: a small positive number for the trial value of δ in the vicinity of a singular point. As stated in item (3) of Section IV, the reverse time trajectory from $(\alpha-\epsilon,\delta)$ [or $(\alpha+\epsilon,-\delta)$] must pass over (or below) the singular point To find the value of δ for each trajectory, a trial computation of a reverse time trajectory is made from $(\alpha-\epsilon,D)$ [or $(\alpha+\epsilon,-D)$] for 200 Δt segments. If the requirement for the trajectory cannot be satisfied, another trial computation is started from $(\alpha-\epsilon, 2D)$ [or $(\alpha+\epsilon, -2D)$]. The trial trajectory computations are continued sequentially from $(\alpha-\epsilon, kD)$ [or $(\alpha+\epsilon, -kD)$] for 200 Δt segments until the requirements is satisfied, where k is a positive integer. Then δ is identified as the lower-bound k to satisfy the requirement. The number of 200 At segments for the trial trajectory is arbitrarily assumed.
- E: a small positive number for ϵ .
- H: a small positive number for Δt in equation (26).
- P: a positive number to restrict the region of the state plane, as in (27).
- SK: a small positive number for equation (28).
- NUP: a positive integer for rotation of trajectories for stopping the trajectory calculation if a limit cycle is found.
- NSN: the number of singular points, excluding the origin, in the left half plane of the search region.
- NTS: the number of singular points, excluding the origin, in the search region plus 2.
- SP(I): the x coordinate of the Ith singular point in the search region. I, beginning from 2, is numbered for each singular point except the origin from left to right sequentially. Thus SP(2) is the x coordinate of the left most singular point, SP(3) is that of the next to the right, etc. For purposes of the program, SP(1) = -P and SP(NTS) = P, although these are not singular points.

- X0: the x coordinate of the initial state of the first reverse time trajectory.
- Y0: the y coordinate of the initial state of the first reverse time trajectory.

The compiling time of the program is about 4.50 seconds. Executing times of Examples 1-3 were as follows:

- (i) Example 1: 20.5 seconds with $\Delta t = 0.01$ and P = 10.0.
- (ii) Example 2: 26.8 seconds with $\Delta t = 0.01$ and P = 10.0.
- (iii) Example 3: 25.3 seconds with $\Delta t = 0.01$ and P = 8.0.

	*WATE	Y.C.	•
After and of the second se)	C SOME THE DOMAIN OF ATTRACTION FOR SECTION FOR SECTIO	
, <i>3</i> e	U	≻ #×	
and density on the second of the Control of the second of	ر د	1	to the control of the second o
	U (THE CALCULATION CONDITIONS ARE	
under under explorer of freedominal Carlos recommendation from	ر	CONCINCION OF ABOUT A DBACK - TAKEN CINGROUND - DBACK - TAKEN CINGROUND	
To the production of the state	ا ن ر	VITH FIX	de de personande surdo ha de jojo de ju dese, a dese ja admisso ja jedu. Voja, joj jeduskoj popularioj popularioj
		ABOVE.)	
and the second transfer and trans	And the second s		entral month and the control of the characteristic for the formal for the first months and the control of the c
		DIMENSION SP(20)	
e e conserve de marie de conserve de la conserve de	Automotive francous car for the proof was	DIMENSION ISP(20)	And country and country for the second second country and country
		READ201, D. E. H. P. SK. NUP	•
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	201	FORMAT (5F15.7915). The contraction of the contract	in the state of th
	n O n	REAUZOZ•NSN•NIS FORMAT(20110)	Amendment (medical and medical and property of the company of the
CALL CAMPAGE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO		NTZ=NTS-1	•
The second secon	established to the control of the co	IF (NTS.EQ.2), GO. TO. 6	$\label{eq:constraint} \text{ constraints of the problem of the constraints of the specific \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{F}}}}}}}}}}$
		READ203, (SP(I), I=2,NTZ)	
te de pareiro processo de la constante de la constante destacemente de la constante de la cons	203	FORMAT (20F15.7)	And the second s
	U	READ INITIAL STATE FOR FIRST TRAJECTORY.	÷
and the second s	9	READ204 & XO § YO	the last contract and the second contract of the second of
	204	FORMAT(2F15.7)	
energy of the contract of the set of the set of the contract o	A management of the contraction of the contraction of	SP(1)	strength () colored (see 350 colored pl/s) or streng contribution decounts contribute (see 350 colored)
· Company		!	
	The second secon	200 C. 10 C.	And the second s
	,	ISP(I)=6	
Colonia de la proposició de la colonia de la	10		The state of the s
		0=0-1	
	and the control of th		Service and court and Constitution and constitution of the Constitution of the Constitution Cons
, communicati, translagamente, portuguente acapata de esta esta esta esta esta esta esta est	Ú	SET INITIAL STATE AT (XO+YO) AND CALCULATE TRAJECTORY.	e Applica, LAN Assagnabessersember esekte ellesäten, van Lendvelke valande elmiştik e. a. (1856).
and the second of the second s	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	Section (and the contract of t	$\Phi_{\rm constraint}({\rm constraint}({\rm constraint}({\rm constraint}))) = 0$
1		NS=0	
and the first temperature of the control of the con	A COLUMN AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF TH		
		AQ::YO	
entender som entenderskind for in sentenderski i 1950 og enderski	agginatoropic andictors is common to	NCY = 0	
the first of many in the manner of the control of the first of the fir	011	FRITTING / ///ASSIDE TO SECTION TO BE A SECTION OF A SECT	e de la companya del la companya de
33		OREAL CIRCONNING BY STATE (* 1200) WITH THE INITIAL STATE (* 1200) THE STATE (* 1200)	
}			
			e de la company de la comp

The second section of the sect	FORMATION OF BOTALTONICA BY STATEMENT OF BOY AND STATEMENT TO STATEMEN
717	ا 5
34	IT (NTOROS) GO TO 2
	IF (NT EQ 4) GO TO 3 cm to the first of the
	GO TO 4
	GO TO 4
E establishment of the conference of the confere	TO TO SERVICE AND AND A SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF
4	O # Z
extension membranes (1971) (1971) in the Control of the Control of	IF (KTR E E G - 2) GO. TO. 5. Learning to the control of the co
r	PRINTNI3%NCY*N*XO*YO
management of the state of the	
. 220	FONCHEE 0 (LP) NO + 50 + 10 FORMAT (215 + 110 + 2F20 + 7)
	N=N+1
	IF(KTR,EQ,2,AND,N,GE,200), GO TO 162
U	CALCULATION OF SEGMENTS OF TRAJECTORY
e de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la co	POX=H*F1(AQ). The state of the
	QOY=H*F(AP.AQ)
 Organization for the second of the second of	PIX=H*FI(AQ+0.5*QOY)
	Q1Y=H*F(AP+0.5*POX.AQ+0.5*QOY)
and the second of the second o	P2X=H*FI(AQ+0.5*Q1Y)
*	Q2Y=H*F(AP+0.5*P1X,AQ+0.5*Q1Y)
ente annomina y del involutore municipalmente del y (con) de un figure (defició) e	P3X=H*F1(A0+Q2Y)
	Q3Y=H*F(AP+P2X•AQ+Q2Y)
region debendamento — e for oppressamente de como en esta como en encontracto que entre o mentido, de para fadamente entre ent	XN=AP+(POX+2.0*P1X+2.0*P2X+P3X)/6.0
	YN=AQ+(Q0Y+2.0*Q1Y+2.0*Q2Y+Q3Y)/6.0
ale and a speciment	IF (KTR ₀ EQ ₀ 2) . 6070 .163
υ	OF SIGN OF
Chief and the second of the se	IF (AQ*YNaLT.00.0) GO TO 12
*	TATION AND TO STORY TO STORY TO STORY THE STOR
n 1	CO TO 31
Company of the compan	COUNT CHANGES OF SIGN OF Y FOR TRAJECTORY.
A CHARLOCK TO THE SECTION OF THE SEC	IF(NT DO 1) GO TO 18
,	IF (NCY = EQ = 1) GO TO 13
And the state of t	AND NT . E
	GO TO 18
Company of the second control of the second	(NT.EQ.2)_GO
a a	IF (NT.EQ.3) GO TO 15
Consider Commission of the Construction of the	Section of the control of the contro

	de de la companya de
. The first short of the second space of the second of the second secon	
	TJ2=AP
See the self of the self of the self-self-self-self-self-self-self-self-	minor or the TO of Britanian in the contract of the contract o
41	TJ1=AP
And the second of the second of the second of the second second second of the second o	Section 18 18 and the section of the
15	TG2=AP
. The transformation of the transformation	. 60 10 18
16	TJ2=AP
Company Ages (1997)	PRINTZI 3 oNCY o No YN
31	
A STATE OF THE PERSON AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON ADDRESS OF THE	AL STATES.
	IF(XN.GT.SP(J).AND.SP(J+1).GE.XN) GO TO 51
managed American Control of the Control of Control of the Control of the Control of Cont	IF (A0.6T.000) -G0 TO 19
	トニ フ+ 1
to distribute the contract of	TO 20 or and an anticompromental and anticompromental anticompromental and anticompromental and anticompromental anticompromental anticompromental anticompromental and anticompromental anticompromen
19	\rangle = \rang
20	some IF (NT.EG.2). 60% TO 32-1
	3,05
	IF(NT.EQ.4) GO TO 38
A design of the second of the	
32	0.0.AND.ISP(L).EQ.5) GO
the second of th	1918AND XOXXN GE OOO GO TO
33.	IF (IS, EQ, I) 560 JO34 Local Property of the control of the contr
	ISP(L)=1
 If the problem described, destinate the adjustication has been described. 	
34	1SP(L)=2
CMA (1) FOR THE ADMINISTRATION, TRACE OF THE PART OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE ADMINISTR	60. TO 45 commencement of the commence of the
35	ISP(L)=5
Continues and continues continues and continues of the co	GO D
	60 TO 45
37	ISP(L)=5
er, and a significant experimental and comment of the comment of t	en e
38	IF (NCY DEQ O AND XO XX SE O O O GO TO 39
 vis. vis. vis. (p.) (p	
39	IF(ISP(L).EQ.2.0R.ISP(L).EQ.1) GO TO 40
e (Chen. 19), som prediction of the Company many objects on a symmet made in	
04	
45 to the second	F(AQ) 46,51147
	•
55	
47	

a magnetic of the control of the con	The second of th	•
4	ND.C.LT.NTS) GO TO 31	
	L. OH. WINDE	the first desired services with the control of the
36	IF (NT) EQ 2 GO TO 52	
	F (NCY » EQ » 1	and a factor of the Consequence of the Principle of the Section of
	61	
Section of the sectio	(NCY,EQ,2) GO TO 67	is an exercise and the same of the same and the same and the same and the same of the same and t
V	CHECK END OF CALCULATION DUE TO EXCESS ROTATIONS.	a, applaam, spiram sementerioris selevas series a selection, and in any in second sectors described
	OLHECK FIND OF CALCULATION DUE TO EXCESS VALUE OF X.	
	IF (ABS(XN) • LE • P) GO TO 69	e a construent que de cambre de monte amonte. La construe de monte amonte a monte de construent de c
2	15 FORMAT (1HO 46HCHANGE OF TRAJ	Annean metale takah mempanyangan pada menara a dangan sa (Ana) - (Br) -
	IF(NT.EQ.2.AND.NCY.EQ.0) GO TO 62	
de de la company	1	
v	1	
2 To the contract of the contr	1	
	60 TO 67	
A contract of the second of th	62 July 1F (AQ ₆ LT ₆ O ₆ O), GO, TO 64 July 19 Second 1	$\label{eq:continuous} which is the second of the second $
	DO 63 1=1, JK	
	TSP(I) and compared the contract of the contra	aportas, ass mas a secundarbantelarmento est magnetaristata dels, tradetal tels, estatristato
7	63 CONTINUE	
	CO TO 66 TO 46 The second contraction of the	desire and desire), supplied to the enterior of the property of the enterior o
4	64 DO 65 I=JK*NTS	
	=(I)dSI	Consideration of the second contraction of t
9		
y	66 IF (1SoEQo1) GO TO 111	and the second of the second o
	67 IF (NT 60 1) GO TO 71	e and communication of the state of the stat
	11) GO TO	
e de la companya de l	IF (NT . E G . 2), GO . TO . 121	and the second s
	GO TO 131	
A residence of the second of t	FZ	
	91	
)	CHECK END OF CALCULATION DUE TO STE	n eranadassentrak ir kansantassentraksentraksentraksentraksentraksentraksentraksentraksentraksentraksentraksen
×2	FIABS	
The figure and the first temporal of the figure for the first field of the field of the first field of the field of the first field of the field of the first field o	+AB	a de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya de la c
	GO TO 85	
3	S=S+ABS(XN-AP)	a l'acciditant de la l'agric de la la despectación de la mante se l'agricultant de la la despectación de la despectación dela dela dela dela dela dela dela dela
3	1 F (N-1	
The second secon	7	
₩	86 NS=NS+1	popuminateurs (pedestateurs) paron et population en paron et pedestateurs)
Company of the Compan	2	
an was seen and an and an and an and an an and an	ACCUSATION OF THE PROPERTY OF	And the second s
,		-

and the control of th	GO TO 88	• .
18 s some summander to the south some	PRINT216	THE PARTY OF THE P
216	FORMAT(1H0 \$41HCHANGE OF TRAJECTORY DUE TO STEADY STATE ///)	
CO. (1) Communication of the C	.60.TO 67 personal productions and a consequence of the consequence of	Consideration of the control of the
0	NATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	
 S. C. Common and M. Common and	60 TO 5	
The second second second	L_STATE_FOR	
71	IF(ISP(NSN+1) > EQ 5) GO TO 72	
Amenica del del deservo del con constitución de la	09 (The second second second second control of the second seco
	09 (
many managers, the section of the se	.09 (And the second s
*	GO TO 151	
72	- ISP (NSN+1)#4 care commenced to the commenced of the commence of the commenc	de jaroninami en landinami oden kantantan kalintan danami in arrangan in da percententami mantan jaroninami da Barangan in danami danami danami danami in danami in arrangan in da percententami mantan danami jaroninami dan
	CEHIO	
American Company of the Company of t	· XO=SP(NSN+1)+CE*E	regions in control and the appropriation committee of the control
	60 T0 74	
73	SP(NSN+2)=3 agence and control of the control of th	and the first of the second
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	X0=SP(NSN+2)+CE*E	Andrews (and departs) and designed the departs of the court age operation of the court and departs of the court age of the court and the court age of the court
74	I +ZOZ+1	
The second secon	VOHUGO I SERVICIONE DESCRIPTION DE LA CONTRACTION DEL CONTRACTION DE LA CONTRACTION	door or address which is the formation of the
description of the contract of	GO TO 1	
75	SP(14NSN) #2	
A COLUMN	K=NSN+1	
		eparameters, procedure, menter and the contract of the contrac
	CE = 1 • 0	
And the second second section is the second		The second of th
76	ISD(NSN+2)=1	
And the first control of the control	15=2	
	CE=-1 a 0.	Carry
77	U=NSN+1	
where $(x,y) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are respectively. The x should be the similar to (x,y) and x and y such that y	•	and the second and the second
	XO=SP(K)+CF*F	
magnaturan por contrar per contrar per contrar de la faction (CCC) des tensos		
	GO TO 141	A Comment of the Comm
	X=NSN+N	
37	Amendmental design to the contract of the cont	estalmandares, accounte parte (200 - 100), de la compressión de campo la colonia de la campo de compressión de
\$	IF (K.LT.1) GO TO 111	
Table properties in the management of the control of the cont		THE COURT CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY AND

	1F(SP(K) aLT=1J1) GO TO 83
3.8	TO 82
რ დ	IF(ISP(K).eNE.b.) GO 10 111
Community of the commun	XLVX
	X0=SP(J)+CE*E
	VT=3
	SP(J) =4 KTR=1 C T T
	IF (TJ2.6E.0.0) GO TO 95
36	XINSN+N XINN-1 XINN-1
and an experimental form of the destination of the second and the	
Comprehension of the Comprehen	IF(SP(K),GT.TJZ,AND,SP(K),LT.O.O) GO TO 94 GO TO 92
E6	IF (SP(K) 6T 1 1 2 AND SP(K) 6 LT 1 GO TO 94
94	IF(ISP(K)*EQ*U).GU 10 9Z
Company and the second	CERTINATION CONTRACTOR
	XO=SP(K)+CE*E
i jenerali (gyr 1) – degy) i jener i si ji me mjodjejionen meneralisi se mosjomnjens odked	SP(K) = 0
 A contract of the contract of the	というと
STORT THE TAX ASSESSED AND AND AND ASSESSED THE STORT OF THE STORY OF	GO TO 161
Į	X-1111
	CE=1.0
de des la companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya del la companya del la companya de l	XO=SP(J)+CE*E
	2-1 NATURAL STATES OF THE STAT
The state of the s	
Comment of the second of the s	KTR=2 60 TO 161
expensions of the second secon	IF (ISP (NSN+2) • NE • 3) - GO TO 151 K=NSN+2
entrementario de la Company	OBSET A STATE OF THE PROPERTY
	↑≒≯↑
	The contract of the contract o

	The second secon
	- The Part And
omposition of the formal formal famous density manners of the production and the	
	GO TO 161
manager to million appears of parameters to the manager of 121 millions of	KINSN+1.
	★#★
e de general de la companya de la co	IF(Ketents) 60 TO 151
	11 (OF (X) 801 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81 81
124	
	CE=-1.0
	X0=SP(K)+CE*E
Contramental of the Contract o	1SP(K) = 3 control and the state of the stat
	O°O=O
was companied to constitute the constitution of the second section of the second sections of the second sections of the second section of the second section of the second section section section sections of the second section sect	NT 3
	15=2
enter de la companya	KNT=K
	ΚΤΩ=1
1	60 TO 1 The same and the same a
131	IF(TJ20LE.0.0) GO TO 138
- 1	K=NSN+1
132	▼# ▼
rangelingen er (e	IF (K of t on TS), 60, TO, 138
	IF(TG2. LT.0.0) GO TO 133
on a company of the second of	IF(SP(K), GT. TG2, AND, SP(K), LT. TJ2), GO. TO. 1.34
	GO TO 132
and the second of the second s	IF(SP(K) oGTo000 AND SP(K) oLToTJ2) GO TO 134
	GO TO 132
134	IF(ISP(K) eEQ 0) GO TO 132
production and including the control primary belongs that the filter includes the control of the	XO=XD/K)+CF*F
gandina sa manana dané sambé kasamé dang dang dang dang dang dang dang sagara dan 1951 sa sambalik m	101/101/101/101/101/101/101/101/101/101
	The state of the contract of t
	KTR=2
to another the second control of the second	GO TO 161
138	U=KNT-1
39	
	ISP(KNT)=1

e sentito (setilo) de la companio de desentación de desentación de desentación de la companio del companio de la companio de la companio de la companio del companio de la companio del la companio de la companio del la companio de la companio del la companio de la companio del	
The second of th	XOSSP(KNT) +CE*E
10	ペートス
The complex of the second of t	
í	60 TO: 161
U ·	CHECK FOR REMAINING INITIAL STATES.
§.	KINA CONTROL OF STATE OF THE CONTROL
	IF (KeGTeNTS), GO TO 170
	IF(ISP(K), EQ. 6, OR, ISP(K), EQ. 0) GO TO 152
$\operatorname{subject}(x) \operatorname{subj}(x) = (0, -\alpha) \operatorname{subject}(x) \operatorname{subject}(x) \operatorname{subject}(x) \operatorname{subject}(x) \operatorname{subject}(x) \operatorname{subject}(x) \operatorname{subject}(x)$	[F(SP(K) eGT e 0 e 0) GO TO 153
•	OeT III YII T
e de la companya de la colonia	TSP(K) = 4 million of the contract of the cont
	GO TO 154
TO THE CONTRACT OF THE PARTY OF	
	J.K.1
and the figure of the control of the second	TO IN THE COLUMN CONTRACTOR CONTR
154	(アンペン) (アンドロン) (アンFU) (red)
	00000
The Company of the Co	THE STATES AND THE ST
	XNT≡X
encremental disental serias (serias - Graines Inexa estremental disente - estal desendente esta estre	KTRALL COLUMN CONTROL COLUMN C
	TUNED*CE
	DETERMINATION OF VALUE FOR DELTA.
161	The second secon
162	JC=JC+1
and the second of the second s	
	AP #XO
empressioner en	GO TO 4
163	P(K)) . LE . 0 . 0 . AND . CE * YN . GT . 0 . 0) GO . TO .
	IF(CE*(XN-SP(K)).6T.00.0.AND.CE*YN.GE.0.0) GO TO 5
to compare the first of the fir	GO TO 162 verse properties and the second se
164	KTR=1 GO TO 1
170	
218 . 55	FORMAT(1HO.24HCALCULATION IS COMPLETE.)
elegalytik gelden. Segen i Sels Selske de om kontronende selsek er forendet – Kolosof Sepol Sepol (S	and the man and the summan the summan the summan and the summan an
A Company of the Comp	

END	(INSERT DATA OF READ201.) (INSERT DATA OF READ202.) (INSERT DATA OF READ203.) (INSERT DATA OF READ203.)	つの 出*	The control of the co		